

Document Number: P3480R5  
Date: 2025-05-15  
Reply-to: Matthias Kretz <m.kretz@gsi.de>  
Audience: LWG  
Target: C++26

# STD::SIMD IS A RANGE

## ABSTRACT

P1928 “std::simd – merge data-parallel types from the Parallelism TS 2” promised a paper on making `simd` a range. This paper explores the addition of iterators to `basic_simd` and `basic_simd_mask`.

## CONTENTS

---

1	CHANGELOG	1
1.1	CHANGES FROM REVISION 0	1
1.2	CHANGES FROM REVISION 1	1
1.3	CHANGES FROM REVISION 2	1
1.4	CHANGES FROM REVISION 3	1
1.5	CHANGES FROM REVISION 4	2
2	STRAW POLLS	2
2.1	SG9 AT WROCŁAW 2024	2
2.2	LEWG TELECON 2025-04-08	3
3	INTRODUCTION, OR WHY SIMD WASN'T A RANGE IN THE TS	3
4	MOTIVATION	4
5	INTEGRATION WITH THE STANDARD LIBRARY	4
5.1	READ-ONLY SUBSCRIPT SHOULD IMPLY READ-ONLY ITERATION	4
5.2	PRESENT A RANGE OF SIMD AS A RANGE OF SIMD'S VALUE-TYPE	4
6	DOWNSIDES OF MAKING SIMD A RANGE	5
7	DESIGN CHOICE: SENTINEL	5
8	OPEN QUESTION: ADD TUPLE INTERFACE	5

9 WORDING	5
9.1 FEATURE TEST MACRO	5
9.2 ADD [SIMD.ITERATOR]	5
9.3 MODIFY [SIMD.OVERVIEW]	9
9.4 MODIFY [SIMD.MASK.OVERVIEW]	9
A BIBLIOGRAPHY	9

# 1

## CHANGELOG

---

### 1.1

#### CHANGES FROM REVISION 0

Previous revision: P3480R0

- Simplify to a single iterator class template.
- Remove incorrect `operator-` overload.
- Discuss design choice of using a sentinel type for `end()`.

### 1.2

#### CHANGES FROM REVISION 1

Previous revision: P3480R1

- Add SG9 poll results.
- Use `default_sentinel_t` instead of a new sentinel type.
- Use an almost-mutable iterator type as directed by SG9 for non-const `begin()`.
- Fix `for_each` example to use `ranges` version.

### 1.3

#### CHANGES FROM REVISION 2

Previous revision: P3480R2

- Ask about tuple interface for `simd`.
- Clarify where `[simd.iterator]` should go.
- Provide proper wording.
- Fix `<=>` comparison with `default_sentinel_t`.
- Bump feature test macro?

### 1.4

#### CHANGES FROM REVISION 3

Previous revision: P3480R3

- Adjust names after introduction of the `simd` subnamespace.

## 1.5

## CHANGES FROM REVISION 4

Previous revision: P3480R4

- Change iterator\_category to input\_iterator\_tag and add iterator\_concept as random\_access\_iterator\_tag.
- Change int to *simd-size-type* in constructor.
- Swap constexpr and friend.
- Drop operator<=> with default\_sentinel\_t.
- Drop #if LEWG\_WANTS\_CONVERSION, keeping the converting constructor.
- Drop precondition on offset\_ for operator\*.

## 2

## STRAW POLLS

## 2.1

## SG9 AT WROCŁAW 2024

**Poll:** We want std::basic\_simd to be a range.

SF	F	N	A	SA
6	2	0	0	0

**Poll:** We want std::basic\_simd to be a common range.

SF	F	N	A	SA
0	0	3	4	1

**Poll:** We want std::basic\_simd::operator[] and std::basic\_simd::begin/end in C++26 without mutation support, knowing that we might not be able to do it later due to ABI issues (e.g. decltype(auto) f(std::basic\_simd<float> x) { return x[0]; } could change return type).

SF	F	N	A	SA
6	2	0	0	0

**Poll:** We want std::basic\_simd::iterator and std::basic\_simd::const\_iterator to be different types to make the transition to mutable iteration easier. This also means adding a non-const begin() overload that returns a different type than the const begin() overload but currently has the same semantics.

SF	F	N	A	SA
2	3	3	0	0

**Poll:** Use `std::default_sentinel_t` instead of `simd-iterator-sentinel`.  
 → unanimous consent

**Poll:** Forward P3480R1 with the changes above to LEWG for inclusion in C++26.

SF	F	N	A	SA
7	1	0	0	0

## 2.2

LEWG TELECON 2025-04-08

**ACTION:** Apply the fix: apply what's in `#if LEWG_WANTS_CONVERSION`

**Poll:** Modify “P3480R4: std::simd is a range” with the above action items and forward to LWG for C++29 (with a recommendation to make this a DR for 26)

SF	F	N	A	SA
10	8	0	0	0

**Poll:** Modify “P3480R4: std::simd is a range” with the above action items and forward to LWG with a recommendation to apply for C++26 (if possible).

SF	F	N	A	SA
12	5	2	0	0

## 3

### INTRODUCTION, OR WHY SIMD WASN'T A RANGE IN THE TS

The Parallelism TS 2 was based on C++17. Ranges were added in C++20. Before ranges, an iterator category was tied to whether `operator*` of iterators returned an lvalue reference. Since `basic_simd` and `basic_simd_mask` objects are not composed of sub-objects (in other words, a `simd<int>` contains no `int` objects), `operator[]` returns prvalues (or a proxy reference in the TS for the non-const case). An iterator needs to do the same and thus never could be in any other iterator category than `Cpp17InputIterator`. In reality, the iterator category always was “random access” (never contiguous; because while `basic_simd` is a contiguous range in memory it isn't one in the object model of C++). In order to not cement that mismatch, it was never proposed to make `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` a range for the TS.

Now that the iterator concepts don't require an lvalue reference anymore we can easily make `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` a read-only range. Iterator dereference would return a prvalue (a copy of the value stored in the `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` object). In addition, the abstraction of a sentinel instead of an iterator pointing beyond the last value of the `basic_simd` seems like a useful tool for `basic_simd`.

## 4

## MOTIVATION

After the technical reasons for *not* adding iterators to `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` are resolved, we still need to consider why `basic_simd` should be a range in the first place.

## 5

## INTEGRATION WITH THE STANDARD LIBRARY

We can improve integration of `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` with the rest of the standard library. By making `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` a range many of the existing facilities in the standard library become easily accessible. All of these facilities do work as intended – in other words: presenting `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` as a range matches on the semantic level, not only syntactically.

### 5.1

#### READ-ONLY SUBSCRIPT SHOULD IMPLY READ-ONLY ITERATION

With the latest WD we can write

```
std::datapar::simd<int> v = ...;
for (int i = 0; i < v.size(); ++i) {
    do_something(v[i]);
}
```

Why then, can we not also write

```
for (auto x : v) {
    do_something(x);
}
```

and

```
std::ranges::for_each(v.begin(), v.end(), [](auto x) {
    do_something(x);
});
```

and

```
v | std::views::filter([](auto x) { return x > 0; }) | std::ranges::to<std::vector>();
```

C++ users have learned that whenever a for loop with subscript does what they need to do, then a ranged for loop, standard algorithm, or range adaptor are valid alternatives. This expectation should not get an exception with `basic_simd` and `basic_simd_mask`.

### 5.2

#### PRESENT A RANGE OF SIMD AS A RANGE OF SIMD'S VALUE-TYPE

In some applications it is more efficient (and simpler) to work with `basic_simd` objects internally, instead of constantly doing loads and stores. Thus a fairly simple container that comes up in applications could be `std::vector<std::datapar::simd<float>>`. On I/O such an application typically cannot communicate in `basic_simd` objects anymore. Instead it needs to present a range of floats.

Read-only iterators on `basic_simd` do not help with the input side. But for output we can easily turn the `vector<simd<float>>` into a range of float:

```
std::vector<std::datapar::simd<float>> data;
auto range_of_float = data | std::views::join;
```

## 6

## DOWNSIDES OF MAKING SIMD A RANGE

Really, I can't think of any downsides of making `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` a range. In principle one could argue that `basic_simd/basic_simd_mask` is not a container [P0851R0]. Consequently, it shouldn't have a container interface and thus no iterators. But then we should probably remove the subscript operator as well.

## 7

## DESIGN CHOICE: SENTINEL

The `basic_simd` iterator type must have a reference/pointer to the `basic_simd` object it is iterating together with an offset, where into the `basic_simd` it is pointing. Because of these two members (and their type), the iterator already knows the complete bounds of the range it is pointing into. Consequently, a single `basic_simd` iterator can always determine whether it points at the beginning or end of the range, it doesn't need to compare against another offset. A sentinel type allows asking that question via `operator==`. Thus, instead of comparing two runtime offset members on `operator==`, a compare against a sentinel is implemented as a compare against a compile-time constant. This makes it easier for the compiler to optimize and reduces the size of the `end()` sentinel to a single byte (empty type).

## 8

## OPEN QUESTION: ADD TUPLE INTERFACE

`std::array` implements the tuple interface. Should `std::simd` also implement `tuple_size`, `tuple_element`, and `get`?

## 9

## WORDING

### 9.1

### FEATURE TEST MACRO

In [version.syn] bump the `__cpp_lib_simd` version.

### 9.2

### ADD [SIMD.ITERATOR]

Add a new subclause before §29.10.6 [simd.class]:

---

[simd]

(9.2.1) 29.10.6 Class *simd-iterator*

[simd.iterator]

```

namespace std::datapar {
    template <class V>
    class simd-iterator {           // exposition only
        V* data_ = nullptr;         // exposition only
        simd-size-type offset_ = 0; // exposition only

    public:
        using value_type = typename V::value_type;
        using iterator_category = std::input_iterator_tag;
        using iterator_concept = std::random_access_iterator_tag;
        using difference_type = simd-size-type;

        constexpr simd-iterator() = default;
        constexpr simd-iterator(V& d, simd-size-type off);

        constexpr simd-iterator(const simd-iterator&) = default;
        constexpr simd-iterator& operator=(const simd-iterator&) = default;

        constexpr simd-iterator(const simd-iterator<remove_const_t<V>>&) requires is_const_v<V>;
        constexpr value_type operator*() const;

        constexpr simd-iterator& operator++();
        constexpr simd-iterator operator++(int);
        constexpr simd-iterator& operator--();
        constexpr simd-iterator operator--(int);

        constexpr simd-iterator& operator+=(difference_type n);
        constexpr simd-iterator& operator-=(difference_type n);

        constexpr value_type operator[](difference_type n) const;

        friend constexpr bool operator==(simd-iterator a, simd-iterator b) = default;
        friend constexpr bool operator==(simd-iterator a, default_sentinel_t);
        friend constexpr auto operator<=>(simd-iterator a, simd-iterator b);

        friend constexpr simd-iterator operator+(const simd-iterator& i, difference_type n);
        friend constexpr simd-iterator operator+(difference_type n, const simd-iterator& i);
        friend constexpr simd-iterator operator-(const simd-iterator& i, difference_type n);

        friend constexpr difference_type operator-(simd-iterator a, simd-iterator b);
        friend constexpr difference_type operator-(simd-iterator i, default_sentinel_t);
        friend constexpr difference_type operator-(default_sentinel_t, simd-iterator i);
    };
}

```

```
}
```

```
constexpr simd-iterator(V& d, simd-size-type off);
```

1       *Effects:* Initializes *data\_* with *d* and *offset\_* with *off*.

```
constexpr simd-iterator(const simd-iterator<remove_const_t<V>>& i) requires is_const_v<V>;
```

2       *Effects:* Initializes *data\_* with *i.data\_* and *offset\_* with *i.offset\_*.

```
constexpr value_type operator*() const;
```

3       *Preconditions:* *data\_* is a valid pointer.

4       *Effects:* Equivalent to: `return (*data_)[offset_];`

```
constexpr simd-iterator& operator++();
```

5       *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
    ++offset_;
    return *this;
```

```
constexpr simd-iterator operator++(int);
```

6       *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
    simd-iterator tmp = *this;
    ++offset_;
    return tmp;
```

```
constexpr simd-iterator& operator--();
```

7       *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
    --offset_;
    return *this;
```

```
constexpr simd-iterator operator--(int);
```

8       *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
    simd-iterator tmp = *this;
    --offset_;
    return tmp;
```

```
constexpr simd-iterator& operator+=(difference_type n);
```

```

9      Effects: Equivalent to:
10     offset_ += n;
11     return *this;

12     constexpr simd-iterator& operator=(difference_type n);
13     Effects: Equivalent to:
14     offset_ -= n;
15     return *this;

16     constexpr value_type operator[](difference_type n) const;
17     Effects: Equivalent to: return (*data_)[offset_ + n];

18     friend constexpr bool operator==(simd-iterator i, default_sentinel_t);
19     Effects: Equivalent to: return i.offset_ == V::size();

20     friend constexpr auto operator<=>(simd-iterator a, simd-iterator b);
21     Preconditions: a.data_ == b.data_ is true.
22     Effects: Equivalent to: return a.offset_ <=> b.offset_;

23     friend constexpr simd-iterator operator+(const simd-iterator& i, difference_type n);
24     friend constexpr simd-iterator operator+(difference_type n, const simd-iterator& i);

25     Effects: Equivalent to: return simd-iterator(*i.data_, i.offset_ + x);

26     friend constexpr simd-iterator operator-(const simd-iterator& i, difference_type n);
27     Effects: Equivalent to: return simd-iterator(*i.data_, i.offset_ - x);

28     friend constexpr difference_type operator-(simd-iterator a, simd-iterator b);
29     Preconditions: a.data_ == b.data_ is true.
30     Effects: Equivalent to: return a.offset_ - b.offset_;

31     friend constexpr difference_type operator-(simd-iterator i, default_sentinel_t);
32     Effects: Equivalent to: return i.offset_ - V::size();

33     friend constexpr difference_type operator-(default_sentinel_t, simd-iterator i);
34     Effects: Equivalent to: return V::size() - i.offset_;

```

---

## 9.3

## MODIFY [SIMD.OVERVIEW]

[simd.overview]

```
template<class T, class Abi> class basic_simd {
public:
    using value_type = T;
    using mask_type = basic_simd_mask<sizeof(T), Abi>;
    using abi_type = Abi;
    using iterator = simd-iterator<basic_simd>;
    using const_iterator = simd-iterator<const basic_simd>;

    constexpr iterator begin();
    constexpr const_iterator begin() const;
    constexpr const_iterator cbegin() const;
    constexpr default_sentinel_t end() const;
    constexpr default_sentinel_t cend() const;
```

## 9.4

## MODIFY [SIMD.MASK.OVERVIEW]

[simd.mask.overview]

```
template<size_t Bytes, class Abi> class basic_simd_mask {
public:
    using value_type = bool;
    using abi_type = Abi;
    using iterator = simd-iterator<basic_simd_mask>;
    using const_iterator = simd-iterator<const basic_simd_mask>;

    constexpr iterator begin();
    constexpr const_iterator begin() const;
    constexpr const_iterator cbegin() const;
    constexpr default_sentinel_t end() const;
    constexpr default_sentinel_t cend() const;
```

## A

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [P0851R0] Matthias Kretz. P0851R0: *simd<T> is neither a product type nor a container type*. ISO/IEC C++ Standards Committee Paper. 2017. URL: <https://wg21.link/p0851r0>.