

PRODUCTS GROUP

## Java Study Group Oct 26-27

#### Roger Golliver Floating-point Center of Expertise roger.a.golliver@intel.com

Intel/FP-COE

#### Some of Java's Problematic Floating-point Requirements

- Java requires orthogonal IEEE default behavior
  - No unmasked exceptions
  - No IEEE flags, (but this should and could be easily corrected)
  - Bit for bit exact results, i.e. no double rounding errors from extended register's extra range and/or precision
- First, this ignores the installed base of PC using extended IEEE floating-point.
- Second, I know of no conforming multiply/divide implementations on extended architectures.

# Sun is currently trying to address this Floating-point issue

- Sun is using its "open" PAS process
- Draft proposal published on the Internet
- Public comments were request by Sept 15, 1998
- One organized public response was from the JavaGrande group
- Sun's response to the public comments has not been made public yet.

#### The proposal will likely contain two floating-point modes

- The default mode will be tolerant of different IEEE/ANSI Std. 754-1985 conforming hardware implementations
- The second mode will a "strict" orthogonal mode
  - a new keyword will be introduced "strict"
  - it will conform to Java's current bit-for-bit exact FP results

#### Sun's draft proposal's algorithm to get strict multiply results on extended architectures

| fld        | qword ptr [dx]   | /* dz = dx * dy */                          |
|------------|------------------|---|
| fclex      |                  | /* clear flags */                           |
| fmul       | qword ptr [dy]   | /* 53-bits of sign., 15-bits of exp. */     |
| fstsw      | word ptr [sw]    | /* rounded-up in Cl                         |
|            |                  | and sticky in Precision(Inexact) */         |
| fst        | qword ptr [dtmp] | /* 53-bits of significand, */               |
| fstsw      | ax               | /* and 11-bits of exponent */               |
| and        | ax,0x30          | /* Precision/Inexact AND Underflow */       |
| xor        | ax,0x30          | /* set after fmul and store? */             |
| jne        | skip             | /* if not then okay, continue */            |
| jsr        | fix_up           | /* fix-up will use [sw] and top of $x87$ to |
|            |                  | round and clamp as required by STD Java */  |
| <b>~</b> • |                  |   |

#### skip:

fstp qword ptr [dz]

#### New algorithm to perform a strict multiply on an extended IEEE architectures

- precision control set to
   53-bits
  x\_de = x\_d
  x\_de \*= 2.0<sup>(Emax\_d-Emax\_de)</sup>
  y\_de = y\_d
  x\_de = x\_de \* y\_de
  x\_de \*= 2.0<sup>(Emax\_de-Emax\_d)</sup>
- Assume IA-32<sup>™</sup> style architecture exact, promotion exact will scale down exact, promotion will underflow correctly (denormalize) if tiny exact will scale up will overflow correctly
- will overflow correctly if huge
- Emax\_de=0x7FFE-0x3FFF=0x3FFF
  Emax\_d =0x7FE-0x3FF=0x3FF
- $Emax_de-Emax_d = 0x3C00$  $Emax_d-Emax_de = -0x3C00$

z d = x de

6

### •Advantages of the new algorithm

- No expensive serializing operations on the control and status words.
- It allows for optimizations which hide the latency of floating-point operations.
- Its cost is only two more multiples from what current JVM's are probably doing.

7

#### Conclusion and ways to follow up

- Questions on the new algorithm can be directed to me at roger.a.golliver@intel.com.
- JavaGrande is group interested in other issues related to using Java for Scientific and Engineering applications.
  - you can visit them at: http://www.javagrande.org
  - JavaGrande will have a "Birds-of-a-Feather" session at the SC98 (Supercomputing98) in November.