

A Brief Introduction to Variadic Templates

Author: Douglas Gregor, Indiana University
Document number: N2087=06-0157
Date: September 10, 2006
Project: Programming Language C++, Evolution Working Group
Reply-to: Douglas Gregor <dgregor@osl.iu.edu>

When a C++ template is defined, it is given a fixed number of template parameters that must be specified when the template is used. *Variadic templates* provide templates with the ability to accept an arbitrary number of template arguments, facilitating clean implementations of type-safe `printf()`, “inherited” constructors, and class templates such as `tuple` (a generalized `pair` that stores any number of values).¹ This introduction illustrates the use of variadic templates to implement a simple, type-safe `printf()`, with no unsightly macros. For instance, the following code should compile and execute correctly with our `printf()`. Note that making this call using the current C/C++ `printf()` invokes undefined behavior, because it can’t handle `std::strings`.

```
const char* msg = "The value of %s is about %g (unless you live in %s).\n";
printf(msg, std::string("pi"), 3.14159, "Indiana");2
```

C’s `printf()` is implemented using the ellipsis (...) to allow a variable number of function arguments. Variadic templates also use the ellipsis syntax, but to describe a new kind of entity: a *parameter pack*. The following tuple template declares a *template type parameter pack* named `Args`:

```
template<typename... Args> class tuple { /* implementation */ };
```

Tuple can be instantiated with any number of types, e.g., `tuple<int, float, std::string>`, `tuple<int>`, or even `tuple<float>`. These arguments will be packed into `Args`.

To build our type-safe `printf()`, we use the following strategy: write out the string up until the first value is reached, print that value, then call `printf()` recursively to print the rest of the string and remaining values. The entire template-recursive function follows:

```
template<typename T, typename... Args>
void printf(const char* s, const T& value, const Args&... args) {
    while (*s) {
        if (*s == '%' && *++s != '%') {
            // ignore the character that follows the '%': we already know the type!
            std::cout << value;
            return printf(++s, args...);
        }
        std::cout << *s++;
    }
    throw std::runtime_error("extra arguments provided to printf");
}
```

There is a bunch of new syntax in this example, so we’ll break it into pieces. As with the `tuple` template, `printf()` contains a template type parameter pack named `Args`. The template parameter `T`, on the other hand, is just a normal template type parameter. That means that we can instantiate `printf()` with one or more types, e.g., `printf<std::string, double>()` (so `T = std::string` and `Args` would contain `double`) or `printf<int, float, double>()` (so `T = int` and `Args` would contain `float` and `double`).

Next, we move on to the declaration of our type-safe `printf()`:

```
template<typename T, typename... Args>
void printf(const char* s, const T& value, const Args&... args);
```

¹Implementations currently emulate variadic templates, but the emulation is quite cumbersome and very limited.

²See http://www.agecon.purdue.edu/crd/Localgov/Second%20Level%20pages/Indiana_Pi_Story.htm for an explanation.

The function parameter list of `printf` uses the ellipsis again, to create another kind of parameter pack. The ellipsis here states that `args` is a *function parameter pack*. Function parameter packs are to function parameters as template parameter packs are to template parameters.

Note that the declaration of the function parameter pack `args` actually uses the template parameter pack `Args` as part of its type. This sets up a relationship between the two parameter packs, because the i^{th} parameter in `args`, `argsi`, will have the type `Argsi const&` (where `Argsi` is the i^{th} type in the template parameter pack `Args`). For instance, the type of the expression `&printf<int, float, double>` will be:

```
void (*)(const char*, const int&, const float&, const double&)
```

Template argument deduction works with function parameter packs in the obvious way. In the call `printf(msg, std::string("pi"), 3.14159, "Indiana")`, we deduce `T` to the argument `std::string` and `Args` to the arguments `double` and `const char*`. What's most interesting is how `printf()` deals with those arguments. It loops through the string printing characters until it hits a percent sign. Then it prints out the current value and recurses with the following return statement:

```
return printf(++s, args...);
```

The ellipsis in this call is a *meta operator* that unpacks the function parameter pack `args` into separate arguments to `printf()`. The argument `args...` expands to all of the values that were packed into `args` when `printf()` was called. This call will go to either the `printf()` template (if `args` has at least one parameter) or to the non-template `printf()` below, which completes the recursion. The ellipsis therefore has two roles: when it occurs *to the left* of the name of a parameter, it declares a parameter pack; when it occurs *to the right* of a template or function call argument, it unpacks the parameter packs into separate arguments.³

```
void printf(const char* s) {
    while (*s) {
        if (*s == '%' && *++s != '%')
            throw std::runtime_error("invalid format string: missing arguments");
        std::cout << *s++;
    }
}
```

Let's step back and walk through our original call: `printf(msg, std::string("pi"), 3.14159, "Indiana")`;

At this call, template argument deduction determines that `T=std::string` and `Args` contains `double` and `const char*`. The call proceeds with `value=std::string("pi")` and `args` containing `3.14159` and `"Indiana"`. When we instantiate this `printf()`, it prints "The value of " followed by "pi", then the recursive call to `printf()` passes on just the arguments packed into `args`, which is (effectively):

```
printf(s, 3.14159, "Indiana");
```

Now, template argument deduction determines that `T=double` and `Args` contains `const char*`. The call proceeds with `value=3.14159` and `args` containing `"Indiana"`, printing "is about " followed by `3.14159`. Now our recursive call is effectively:

```
printf(s, "Indiana");
```

This time, `T=const char*` and `Args` is empty. The call proceeds with `value="Indiana"` and `args` is also empty, and we print "(unless you live in ", followed by "Indiana". Our final recursive call boils down to:

```
printf(s);
```

At this point, our recursion will terminate, because `args` is completely empty. The non-template `printf()` will print the remainder of the string.

With variadic templates, we were able to define a type-safe `printf()` in just a few simple lines of code; with slightly more effort, we could handle justification, precision and padding, warn about problems with incorrect format strings (e.g., `"%f"` vs. `"%i"`), or create something better that omits the need for formatting strings entirely. Variadic templates are about much more than just a type-safe `printf()`. They offer a concise, self-contained mechanism that simplifies many modern C++ libraries (including TR1), greatly reducing the need for extra-linguistic preprocessor manipulation.

³The use of the ellipsis for unpacking is needed to eliminate ambiguities; see the full paper for an explanation.