

Project: ISO JTC1/SC22/WG21: Programming Language C++  
Doc No: WG21 **P0814R0**  
Date: 2017-10-13  
Reply to: Nicolai Josuttis (nico@josuttis.de)  
Audience: LEWG, LWG  
Prev. Version:

## hash\_combine() Again

C++11 came out with hash containers but poor support to implement hash functions.

A few proposals tried to fix that:

- In 2012, [N3333 "Hashing User-Defined Types in C++1y"](#)
- In 2014, [N3976 "Convenience Functions to Combine Hash Values"](#)

N3976 was more or less rejected with the promise that N333 will solve it better soon. But now, 3-5 years later in C++17, we still don't have support to help application programmers to use unordered containers for their own types. Proving:

The perfect is the enemy of the good

This paper proposed a minimal solution that still gives freedom to future standard to make it better.

The proposal is roughly taken from the following requirement, which both papers saw as a valid and common request and were more or less proposing the same solution:

- Application programmers should have a convenience function to compute a combined hash value from the hash values of types for which `std::hash<>` is supported.

Thus, for example, to use a class `Customer` in a hash container the programmer simply should be able to program:

```
struct MyCustomerHash {
    std::size_t operator() (const Customer& c) const {
        return hash_combine(c.getFirstname(),
                           c.getLastname(),
                           c.getAge());
    }
};
std::unordered_set<Customer, CustomerHash> coll;
```

With fold expression, `hash_combine()` is easy to implement. For example:

```
template<typename T>
void _hash_combine (size_t& seed, const T& val)
{
    seed ^= std::hash<T>()(val) + 0x9e3779b9 + (seed<<6) + (seed>>2);
}

template<typename... Types>
size_t hash_combine (const Types&... args)
{
    size_t seed = 0;
    (_hash_combine(seed, args) , ... ); // create hash value with seed over all args
    return seed;
}
```

However, the underlying hash combine function is not easy to implement (here we use Boost's approach, see e.g., [http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1\\_35\\_0/doc/html/hash/combine.html](http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_35_0/doc/html/hash/combine.html)).

Platform-specific aspects also might matter.

For this reason, making it part of the library is a useful step.

For future compatibility we suggest to make the return type of `hash_combine()` a template parameter with a default type:

```
template<typename RT = size_t, typename... Types>
RT hash_combine (const Types&... args)
{
    std::size_t seed = 0;
    (_hash_combine(seed,args) , ... ); // create hash value with seed over all args
    return seed;
}
```

## Proposed Wording:

Available in both `<unordered_set>` and `<unordered_map>`

add the following new function template:

```
namespace std {
    template<typename RT = size_t, typename... T>
    RT hash_combine (const T&... args);
}
```

with the following definition:

```
template<typename RT = size_t, typename... T>
    RT hash_combine (const T&... args);
```

*Requires:* For any  $T_i$  the specialization of `hash<Ti>` is enabled (23.14.15).

*Effects:* Calls `hash<Ti>()(argsi)` for all  $i$  and combines the resulting hash value with the following constraints:

- All return values are equal with the same input for a given execution of the program.
- For two different values  $t1$  and  $t2$ , the probability that `hash_combine(t1,...)` and `hash_combine(t2,...)` compare equal should be very small, approaching  $1.0 / \text{numeric\_limits}<\text{size\_t}>::\text{max}()$ .

[Note: `hash_combine(args1,args2)` may differ from `hash_combine(args2,args1)` and `hash_combine(args1,args2,args3)` may differ from `hash_combine(hash_combine(args1, args2), args3)`.]

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to all who incredibly helped me to prepare this paper, such as all people in the C++ library working group.