

# P2966R0 – Making C++ Better for Game Developers: Progress Report

Document number: P2966R0

Date: 2023-09-03

Authors: Patrice Roy, based on suggestions made from various experts from the game development domain, including Nicolas Fleury (Ubisoft), Gabriel Morin (EIDOS), Arthur O’Dwyer, Matt Bentley, Staffan Tjernstrom, Matt Bentley and others.

Reply to: patricer@gmail.com

Target audience: EWG, SG14

## Contents

P2966R0 – Making C++ Better for Game Developers: Progress Report.....	1
Abstract .....	3
Guiding Principles .....	3
Things that simplify C++ are good .....	3
Things that make C++ more teachable are good .....	4
Avoid negative performance impacts .....	4
Debugging matters .....	4
SG14 Process .....	4
Actions.....	5
Requests by Category (Overview).....	5
Compile-Time Computing .....	6
Memory Allocation and Deterministic Behavior.....	6
Attributes .....	8
Move Semantics .....	9
Handling Disappointment.....	9
Pattern Matching.....	9
Tooling and Ease-of-Coding.....	10
Networking .....	11
Parallel and Concurrent Computing .....	11
Logging and I/O .....	11
Numeric Computing .....	12
Miscellaneous.....	12



## Abstract

Starting December 2019, SG14 has begun collecting information as to how C++ could be improved from the perspective of game developers. The requests that have been collected came from prominent members of the game development community, starting with the greater Montréal area (Québec, Canada), a well-known hub for that application domain.

These requests were brought to several SG14 meetings to be discussed, categorized and for a selection to be made. SG14 is the C++ Standards Committee study group for low-latency, game, finance, and embedded systems programming. The intent of this process was to identify, from the set of requests that had been collected, those that would benefit this important subset of the C++ programmer community the most and turn these into individual papers to be discussed on their own merits.

This progress report aims to provide an overview of this overall effort. It establishes the guiding principles behind the set of requests. It also identifies the topics for papers know to come, which form an open set as we expect more to be added once this effort is made public, and it identifies those requests which have already been serviced through existing efforts.

Note: most of the suggestions in this document would not be major features of C++. The set of suggestions, however, can be seen as significant, aiming to address aspects of the language that (a) make the language more difficult to use or learn than it could be, (b) brings users to write workarounds or (c) hamper adoption of subsets of the language.

## Guiding Principles

Contributors to this effort have committed to the following guiding principles:

- Things that simplify C++ are good
- Things that make C++ more teachable are good
- Avoid negative performance impacts
- Debugging matters

Not all suggestions made throughout this process fall into the purview of one or more of these guiding principles, but they all aim not to contravene these principles.

### Things that simplify C++ are good

C++ is a rich but complex language. Some of the suggestions that stem from this effort aim to reduce the number of “gotchas” and pitfalls faced by C++ programmers and would reduce the number of workarounds and trickery involved in using C++ to write games.

Some specific remarks that have been made include:

- Things that make generic programming simpler are appreciated.
- One needs to understand how the code will run based on the source code.
- Unexpected side-effects are to be avoided.

### Things that make C++ more teachable are good

The game programming industry is big and turnover rates make training new colleagues something important. Things that make C++ more teachable reduce costs, make professional insertion easier, and help reduce debugging efforts.

### Avoid negative performance impacts

SG14 developers use C++ for many reasons, but control and performance characteristics are very high on the list. Things with negative impact on performance are unacceptable to them. This means that new features that could impact performance negatively need to be accompanied with an opt-out mechanism.

### Debugging matters

For some of the suggestions in this document, availability only in so-called « debug » builds would be acceptable due to the costs expected in so-called « release » builds. Contributors know that the standard does not recognize this distinction but hope that we can find a way to make some of the more costly features available in a conditional manner.

- Contributors have discussed the importance of manageable « Debug/ -O0 » builds as today, they sometimes need to debug code built with « Release/ -O2/ -O3 » builds to make their programs fit into memory.
- Many have reported that design styles tend to change (monadic programming, functional programming, lazy execution) making it harder to grasp what's going on from the source code (it's « more magic »)
- Call stacks that are too deep make debugging harder

### SG14 Process

The principal author of this document initially met with prominent members of the game development community to collect an initial set of requests; these meetings were started at the behest of said members themselves, and as such this document aims to carry their voice.

Requests were then grouped by topic to turn this set of ideas into an organized whole to help discussion.

Following this initial collection and grouping effort, this unnamed “proto paper” was brought to several SG14 meetings to progress through the set of requests found therein. For each suggestion, SG14 provided guidance:

- Is this feature something SG14 wants?
- If the suggestion is to be pursued, should it be pursued on its own or as part of a related group?
- Is this something that can be achieved with existing language facilities? If so, is it worthwhile to pursue the suggestion?
- Are there alternative approaches that would be preferable?

This led to questions being raised, requests being dropped, requests being modified, workarounds being identified, etc. This discussion effort is still ongoing, but sufficient progress has been made that the production of actual papers can begin.

## Actions

For each suggestion / suggestion category / suggestion group in this document, we seek the following guidance from SG14:

- Is this something SG14 wants?
- If the suggestion is to be pursued, should it be pursued on its own or as part of a related group?
- Is this something that can be achieved with existing language facilities? If so, is it worthwhile to pursue the suggestion?
- Are there alternative approaches that would be preferable?

SG14 contributors plan to write papers that will help these requests lead to adoption of corresponding features in the C++ language or its standard library. Some of these efforts are pursued through other papers, not necessarily SG14 related; in such cases, SG14 contributors will try to help bring these other papers to fruition. In the cases where the request can be served with existing facilities or that other approaches would serve the stated goals better, SG14 will try to make these existing alternatives better known. Where there are competing proposals to solve a problem from the set below, SG14 will try to provide guidance with respect to those aspects of these proposals that serve best this community.

## Requests by Category (Overview)

What follows is a set of tabular overviews of the effort so far. Requests have been categorized in one of the following groups:

- Compile-Time Computing
- Memory Allocation and Deterministic Behavior
- Attributes
- Move Semantics
- Handling Disappointment
- Pattern Matching
- Tooling and Ease-of-Coding
- Networking
- Parallel and Concurrent Computing
- Logging and I/O
- Numeric Computing
- Miscellaneous

In each table below, you will find:

- A (very brief) summary of what the request is
- Its status from the perspective of SG14: not pursued (the group was not convinced enough, or there exists a workaround already), adjusted (to be pursued but in a different form), pursued (papers incoming), to be discussed (not seen yet by SG14).

## Compile-Time Computing

The set of compile-time computing aspects of C++ grows with each version of the standard. The following suggestions would help game developers perform optimizations that seems worthwhile to them.

Request	Status
Allow overloading based on constexpr arguments	Pursued (design space to be explored). Use-cases have been presented from different application domains. Has been discussed in the past: <a href="#">p1045</a>
Static reflection	Pursued in order to make the important aspects of this feature for SG14 members known to the wider C++ programming community
Compile-time string interpolation	Pursued. Some progress has been made with <a href="#">p2741</a> but more is needed

## Memory Allocation and Deterministic Behavior

Controlling dynamic memory allocation mechanisms closely is important for games in order to ensure acceptable performance, including more deterministic execution speed.

Note: in the suggestions below, SOO stands for “small object optimization”.

Request	Status
SOO Thresholds	Pursued (design space to be explored). Knowing the memory allocation threshold for SOO-enabled types (std::function, std::string and others), probably through compile-time traits to let programmers avoid resorting to dynamic memory allocation unwillingly and in a portable manner.
std::inplace_function	Pursued (candidate for freestanding). std::function can allocate if constructed from a function object of a size greater than an implementation-specific threshold, so some companies reject that type outright and roll out their own homemade version. For this reason, a std::inplace_function or equivalent, which never allocates, is desired. Note: this has been discussed by SG14 in the past <sup>1</sup> and there is implementation experience
SOO-Enabled vector	Pursued (might be covered already by the static_vector<T> proposal; <a href="#">P0843</a> inplace_vector was approved by LEWG in Varna for C++26). A std::vector<T>/std::array<T,N> alternative

<sup>1</sup> <https://github.com/WG21-SG14/SG14/blob/master/Docs/Proposals/NonAllocatingStandardFunction.pdf>

Request	Status
	that has a (potentially compile-time known) capacity and never allocates
External Buffer Vector	Pursued (might be solved by PMR vector and <code>monotonic_buffer_resource</code> ). A vector that manages an externally provided buffer and switches to heap-allocated memory should that buffer's capacity not be sufficient
Intrusive Containers	Pursued (see if <a href="#">p0406</a> is appropriate or needs to be modified). Used extensively by many SG14 contributors, particularly intrusive lists.
InplaceContainer<Size> Inheriting from Container Pattern	Pursued (design space exploration required; might be solved through PMR containers). A set of containers (e.g.: <code>inplace_vector&lt;T,Sz&gt;</code> ) that expose the same interface as standard containers but supply a fixed-size buffer to manage by default)
Heap-Free Functions	Pursued (candidates for freestanding). Add heap-free options to all situations that might lead to dynamic memory allocation (e.g.: passing client-allocated buffers). In some cases, that might simply be a matter of adding a function overload taking an array of <code>std::byte</code> as argument. This also applies to standard library containers as mentioned in other items of this paper
"No RTTI" guarantees	Pursued. Many SG14 companies compile with RTTI turned off but might still want to use PMR allocators; however, some implementations use <code>dynamic_cast</code> in their PMR types. Offering PMR with a "no-RTTI" guarantee, or at least a compile-time checkable guarantee would be desirable. Consider eliminating note <a href="#">[mem.res.private-note-1]</a>
"Predictable lambdas"	Might be pursued (under exploration). Being able to declare a lambda on the stack, without initializing it right away, and having access to its constructor (some sort of placement new on an uninitialized lambda, kind of like an <code>optional&lt;lambda&gt;</code> )
Move-With-Last-Swap / Reorderase	Pursued. The technique of object removal from a container where order isn't important by swapping to the end and erasing there is used extensively by SG14 contributors but empirical data shows a standard library solution would be advantageous.

## Attributes

There are a number of suggestions related to attributes. All attribute names below are tentative (some of the names proposed, e.g. `[[invalidate]]` might conflict with other ongoing efforts in the language). Further exploration can change some of these from attributes to some other form (keyword, function, trait, etc.)

Request	Status
Support for User Attributes	Might be pursued (under exploration). Allowing users to implement their own attributes to replace macro-based tricks frequently found in game engines with something “in-language”
<code>[[invalidate_dereferencing]]</code>	Pursued. Annotate the pointer argument passed to <code>realloc()</code> and similar functions with <code>[[invalidate_dereferencing]]</code> . The intent is that the compiler should consider <code>*ptr</code> or <code>p-&gt;</code> to be invalid after the call but using <code>ptr</code> without dereferencing would still be valid. Would fix what some consider to be a “UB pitfall” with <code>realloc()</code> , while providing an attribute usable for user code wanting the same optimization opportunities and semantics.
<code>[[invalidate]]</code>	Pursued (there is implementation experience). Annotate the pointer argument passed to <code>free()</code> and similar functions with <code>[[invalidate]]</code> . The intent is that the compiler should consider <code>ptr</code> , <code>*ptr</code> and <code>ptr-&gt;</code> to be invalid after the call. This would address what some consider to be “UB pitfalls” at compile-time, while providing an attribute usable for user code wanting the same optimization opportunities and semantics.
<code>[[simd]]</code>	Not pursued as such (work ongoing for the Parallelism TS). <a href="#">P1928 std::simd - Merge data-parallel types</a> from the Parallelism TS 2 was approved by LEWG in Varna for C++26.
<code>[[no_copy]]</code>	Might be pursued (under exploration). Annotate types and function arguments with <code>[[no_copy]]</code> if only move and RVO are acceptable. Type definition and function code can evolve over time, making the guarantee at the function level valuable. Note: part of the intent is to help junior programmers who might not understand the intricacies of C++ value categories.

Request	Status
[[rvo]]	Might be pursued (under exploration). Annotate functions with [[rvo]] to ensure calls to these functions only compile if used in a RVO situation. There might be a basis in <a href="#">P2025</a> and in Clang's non-standard [[musttail]] attribute: <a href="https://reviews.lvm.org/D99517">https://reviews.lvm.org/D99517</a>
[[side_effect_free]]	Pursued. Annotate functions with [[side_effect_free]] and make this checkable at compile-time. The intent would be to open up optimization opportunities such as automatic memoization. Prior work includes [[pure]] proposals and the [[conveyor]] suggestion for contracts.
[[trivially_relocatable]]	There is strong interest in a [[trivially_relocatable]] attribute such as the one in <a href="#">p1144</a> Note: some companies have their own is_memcpyable trait to simulate [[relocatable]].

#### Move Semantics

Request	Status
Move semantics are perceived as important but too easy to misuse	Might be pursued (under exploration): make it so a function taking const T&& as argument fails to compile or is warned about (too easy to write such a signature by copy-pasting from a copy constructor / copy assignment). Reported as a pain point by numerous contributors.

#### Handling Disappointment

Request	Status
So-called « Herbceptions » are looked upon favorably	Pursued. It's more than "herbceptions" however: this is a major and multi-faceted issue that requires a paper on its own

#### Pattern Matching

Request	Status
The switch-case style pattern matching (inspect) is looked upon favorably	This is more of a general support from SG14 for the general Pattern Matching features effort than a proposal on its own

## Tooling and Ease-of-Coding

Game development companies typically develop tools to assist them and make them more productive. Even though C++ has not (traditionally) been known as the most “toolable” language, there are ways in which C++ could become better in that area. The items in this section include aspects which would make C++ easier to debug.

Note: recent progresses (`std::mdspan`, some vendors making it easier to avoid stepping through `std::move()` or `std::forward()`) have been noted and appreciated by SG14 contributors.

Request	Status
nameof operator	Pursued (exploration of design space required). See the nameof operator in C# and <code>#[derive(Debug)]</code> in Rust for inspiration (also <a href="https://github.com/Neargye/nameof">https://github.com/Neargye/nameof</a> ). Note: might be solved by SG7 efforts
Better compile-time error detection	This is a general wish for things that will help compiler catch more errors at compile-time (there’s hope that concepts will play a role there). Clarifying what the compiler “sees” and what it does not “see” would help wrote more “debuggable” code.
Conditional compilation	Pursued (exploration of design space required). Something that would replace <code>#ifdef ... #endif</code> and would allow one’s code to be checked for one platform while compiling for another (it seems to be a pain point for individuals writing code for multiple platforms).

## Networking

Networking is something that every game engine has to implement by itself; a C++ standard library version would be seen as something useful. Boost ASIO seems “heavy” to most SG14 contributors, but a replacement for C sockets would be a huge win. Games would probably use the low-level standard library API for networking and use their own mechanisms on top of it, including their own asynchronous utilities.

Note: there have been discussions in WG21 as to whether it would be reasonable to provide a basic sockets replacement for C++ would be useful given all of the security concerns we have today. For games, the answer to this would be “yes”. Not everyone needs security; some people just need fast and low-level. For embedded, a small and fast low-level interface would be most important (there’s a stack most SG14 contributors use: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LwIP>).

Request	Status
A small, fast and low-level layer including sockets	Pursued.

## Parallel and Concurrent Computing

Request	Status
Compile-time Evaluated Thread-Safety	Might be pursued (under exploration): to allow enforcing Rust-inspired resource management in order to help validation non-thread-safe operations at compile-time. There seems to be prior art <sup>2</sup>
Naming, tracing and debugging	Might be pursued (under exploration): adding facilities to portably name mutexes and threads
Support of almost portable facilities	Might be pursued (under exploration): adding facilities to control thread priority and stack size. There has been work already, see <a href="#">p0484</a> , <a href="#">p0320</a> , <a href="#">p2019</a> Some game engines use <code>alloca()</code> and similar features in somewhat scary ways; investigating how to constrain these facilities somehow might be worthwhile.

## Logging and I/O

Request	Status
Better logging facilities	Might be pursued (under exploration): some languages have optional attributes to know “who called you” which can be useful for logging. Note: <code>std::stacktrace</code> will help. Note: static reflection will help

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://clang.llvm.org/docs/ThreadSafetyAnalysis.html>

## Numeric Computing

Request	Status
Linear algebra	Pursued. SG14 supports the addition of foundational types for linear algebra (efforts are ongoing in that respect). Each game engine has its own version of such utilities, and so does each middleware, but there seems to be “holes” in most of them. In general, it would be good if what can be done in a language such as HLSL could be done directly in C++.
Opt-in UB on Unsigned Overflow	Might be pursued (under exploration). There is a need for an integral type (at least the 32 bits flavor) for which overflow would be UB.

## Miscellaneous

Request	Status
Forward Class Declarations with Inheritance	Might be pursued (under exploration): it would be useful to allow a forward class declaration specifying inheritance relationships when using pointer-to-base / pointer-to-derived conversions
“namespace class”	Might be pursued (under exploration): when defining a class’ member functions in a .cpp file, repeating the class name everywhere can get tedious; reducing the noise would be useful
Constrained Construction	Might be pursued (under exploration): a syntax that would constrain the number of constructors involved at the call site (e.g.: <code>construct(1) auto a = f();</code> ) might help protecting against performance losses resulting from unwanted conversions.
Flags-Only enums	Might be pursued (under exploration): enumerations that can only be flags, which could influence “stringification”, particularly if two symbols have the same value. Note: workarounds have been proposed in the past, notably <a href="https://gpfault.net/posts/typesafe-bitmasks.txt.html">https://gpfault.net/posts/typesafe-bitmasks.txt.html</a> , <a href="https://dalzhim.wordpress.com/2016/02/16/enum-class-bitfields/">https://dalzhim.wordpress.com/2016/02/16/enum-class-bitfields/</a> and <a href="https://dalzhim.github.io/2017/08/11/Improving-the-enum-class-bitmask/">https://dalzhim.github.io/2017/08/11/Improving-the-enum-class-bitmask/</a>
Member Functions of Enums	Might be pursued (under exploration): of particular interest would be conversion operators
Better Support of Arrays with enum-Based Strong Types	Might be pursued (under exploration): enum-based strong types and arrays mix unpleasantly, which blocks their adoption in some companies. See <a href="https://wandbox.org/permlink/dZvsd4MTz3WD7282">https://wandbox.org/permlink/dZvsd4MTz3WD7282</a>

Request	Status
Making <code>std::initializer_list</code> Movable	Might be pursued (under exploration): this would allow such things as initializing a <code>std::vector&lt;std::unique_ptr&lt;T&gt;&gt;</code> with a pair of braces containing a sequence of calls to <code>std::make_unique&lt;T&gt;()</code> . Prior efforts include <a href="#">p0065</a>
Explicit list-initialization	Might be pursued (under exploration): looking for fixes to the dichotomy between such situations as <code>vector&lt;int&gt;(10,-1)</code> and <code>vector&lt;int&gt;{10,-1}</code> which have been “gotchas” of C++ since C++11. Some SG14 contributors forbid constructors taking <code>initializer_list</code> arguments for that reason
Efficient Downcasting	Might be pursued (under exploration): need for a way to downcast to the most-derived type at low cost, e.g.: using sorted vtables for statically linked .exe. Companies write their own currently but it’s nonportable
Covariant Cloning	Might be pursued (under exploration): being able to have covariant return types based on <code>unique_ptr&lt;T&gt;</code> as well as on <code>T*</code> . There has been prior work in that regard <sup>3</sup>
Homogeneous Variadics	Might be pursued (under exploration): making it easier to write variadic packs where all elements are of the same type. Note : can be achieved in C++20 through techniques such as <a href="https://wandbox.org/permlink/f2TasMibAYysw2pM">https://wandbox.org/permlink/f2TasMibAYysw2pM</a>
Named Arguments	Might be pursued (under exploration): prior efforts include <a href="#">n4172</a> . Made possible in part with designated initializers.
SoA to AoS	Pursued (design space to be explored): arrays of structs (AoS) make it easier to understand and structure classes but are often less efficient in terms of time and space usage than structs of arrays (SoA). A way to “transform” something expressed as an AoS into its SoA equivalent would be very useful
Unified Function Call Syntax	Might be pursued (under exploration): tooling and ease of use are motivating factors. Current code editors tend to be better at assisting programmers with <code>x.f(y)</code> than they are with <code>f(x, y)</code> . There have also been reports that free functions tend to be coded two or three times separately as programmers don’t always find them, and end up rolling their own

<sup>3</sup> <https://deque.blog/2017/09/08/how-to-make-a-better-polymorphic-clone/> which uses CRTP, <https://www.fluentcpp.com/2017/09/12/how-to-return-a-smart-pointer-and-use-covariance/> which is a bit involved as well as <https://herbsutter.com/2019/10/03/gotw-ish-solution-the-clonable-pattern/> which requires metaclasses

<b>Request</b>	<b>Status</b>
Adoption of Modules	Pursued. C++ modules can't come soon enough. They will both make templates a lot more palatable and get us rid of the infamous Unity builds which break the concept of a translation unit but are ubiquitous in large game codebases to get reasonable compilation speeds. We should speed up adoption, or at least standardize how hybrid header + modules setups work to facilitate transition